

ON MULTILINEAR FRACTIONAL STRONG MAXIMAL OPERATOR ASSOCIATED WITH RECTANGLES AND MULTIPLE WEIGHTS

MINGMING CAO, QINGYING XUE, AND KÔZÔ YABUTA

ABSTRACT. In this paper, the multilinear fractional strong maximal operator $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R},\alpha}$ associated with rectangles and corresponding multiple weights $A_{(\vec{p},q),\mathcal{R}}$ are introduced. Under the dyadic reverse doubling condition, a necessary and sufficient condition for two-weight inequalities is given. As consequences, we first obtain a necessary and sufficient condition for one-weight inequalities. Then, we give a new proof for the weighted estimates of multilinear fractional maximal operator $\mathcal{M}_\alpha$ associated with cubes and multilinear fractional integral operator $\mathcal{I}_\alpha$, which is quite different and simple from the proof known before.

1. INTRODUCTION

The multilinear Calderón-Zygmund theory was originated in the works of Coifman and Meyer on the Calderón-Zygmund commutator [4], [5] in the 70s. Later on, it systematically was studied by Grafakos and Torres in [11], [12]. In recent years, the theory on multilinear Calderón-Zygmund operators and related operators, such as multilinear singular integral, maximal, strong maximal and fractional maximal type operators, fractional integrals, have attracted much attentions as a rapid developing field in harmonic analysis. [10], [11], [9], [14], [17] are some important papers on multilinear operators.

In 2009, the following multiple weights class $A_{(\vec{p},q)}$ was first introduced and studied by Chen and Xue in [3], and also simultaneously defined and studied by Moen in [20].

Definition 1.1. ([3] or [20]) Let $1 < p_1, \dots, p_m < \infty$, $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{p_m}$, and $q > 0$. Suppose that $\vec{\omega} = (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_m)$ and each ω_i ($i = 1, \dots, m$) is a nonnegative function on $\mathbb{R}^n$. We say that $\vec{\omega} \in A_{(\vec{p},q)}$, if it satisfies

$$\sup_Q \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \nu_{\vec{\omega}}^q dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \prod_{i=1}^m \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \omega_i^{-p'_i} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p'_i}} < \infty,$$

Date: August 12, 2014.

Key words and phrases. Multilinear fractional strong maximal operators; $A_{(\vec{p},q),\mathcal{R}}$ weights; dyadic reverse doubling condition; two-weight inequalities.

The second author was supported partly by NSFC (No. 11471041), the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (No. 2012CXQT09) and NCET-13-0065. The third named author was supported partly by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) Nr. 23540228, Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

Corresponding author: Qingying Xue Email: qyxue@bnu.edu.cn.

where the supremum is taken over all cubes Q with sides parallel to the coordinate axes, and $\nu_{\vec{\omega}} = \prod_{i=1}^m \omega_i$. If $p_i = 1$, $(\frac{1}{Q} \int_Q \omega_i^{1-p'_i})^{\frac{1}{p'_i}}$ is understood as $(\inf_Q \omega_i)^{-1}$.

Based on a characterization of multiple $A_{(\vec{p},q)}$ weights, [3] and [20] established some weighted estimates for the operators in the following definition.

Definition 1.2. ([3] or [20]) Given $\vec{f} = (f_1, \dots, f_m)$, suppose each f_i ($i = 1, \dots, m$) is locally integrable on $\mathbb{R}^n$. Then for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we define the multilinear fractional type maximal operator $\mathcal{M}_\alpha$ and the multilinear fractional integral operator $\mathcal{I}_\alpha$ by

$$(1.1) \quad \mathcal{M}_\alpha(\vec{f})(x) = \sup_{Q \ni x} \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{|Q|^{1-\frac{\alpha}{mn}}} \int_Q |f_i(y_i)| dy_i, \quad \text{for } 0 < \alpha < mn$$

and

$$(1.2) \quad \mathcal{I}_\alpha(\vec{f})(x) = \int_{(\mathbb{R}^n)^m} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^m f_i(x - y_i)}{|(y_1, \dots, y_m)|^{mn-\alpha}} d\vec{y}, \quad \text{for } 0 < \alpha < mn,$$

where the supremum in (1.1) is taken over all cubes Q containing x in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with the sides parallel to the axes and $d\vec{y} = dy_1 \cdots dy_m$, $|(y_1, \dots, y_m)| = |y_1| + \cdots + |y_m|$.

We summarize some results of $\mathcal{M}_\alpha$ and $\mathcal{I}_\alpha$ as follows.

Theorem A ([20]) Let $0 < \alpha < mn$, $1 < p_1, \dots, p_m < \infty$, $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \cdots + \frac{1}{p_m}$ and $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{\alpha}{n}$. Then $\vec{\omega} \in A_{(\vec{p},q)}$ if and only if either of the following two inequalities holds,

$$(1.3) \quad \|\mathcal{M}_\alpha(\vec{f})\|_{L^q(\nu_{\vec{\omega}}^q)} \leq C \prod_{i=1}^m \|f_i\|_{L^{p_i}(\omega_i^{p_i})};$$

$$(1.4) \quad \|\mathcal{I}_\alpha(\vec{f})\|_{L^q(\nu_{\vec{\omega}}^q)} \leq C \prod_{i=1}^m \|f_i\|_{L^{p_i}(\omega_i^{p_i})}.$$

Theorem B ([3] or [20]) Let $0 < \alpha < mn$, $1 \leq p_1, \dots, p_m < \infty$, $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \cdots + \frac{1}{p_m}$ and $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{\alpha}{n}$. Then for $\vec{\omega} \in A_{(\vec{p},q)}$ there is a constant $C > 0$ independent of $\vec{f}$ such that

$$(1.5) \quad \|\mathcal{M}_\alpha(\vec{f})\|_{L^{q,\infty}(\nu_{\vec{\omega}}^q)} \leq C \prod_{i=1}^m \|f_i\|_{L^{p_i}(\omega_i^{p_i})};$$

$$(1.6) \quad \|\mathcal{I}_\alpha(\vec{f})\|_{L^{q,\infty}(\nu_{\vec{\omega}}^q)} \leq C \prod_{i=1}^m \|f_i\|_{L^{p_i}(\omega_i^{p_i})}.$$

It is well known that the geometry of rectangles in $\mathbb{R}^n$ is more intricate than that of cubes in $\mathbb{R}^n$, even when both classes of sets are restricted to have sides parallel to the axes. This makes the investigation of the strong maximal function pretty much complex, but of course, quite interesting. In 1935, a maximal theorem was given by Jessen, Marcinkiewicz and Zygmund in [13]. They pointed the strong maximal function is not of weak type $(1,1)$, which is different from the classical

Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator. Later, in 1975, the maximal theorem was again proved by Córdoba and Fefferman applying an alternative geometric method in [6]. Delicate properties of rectangles in $\mathbb{R}^n$ were also quantified. The heart of the work of Córdoba and Fefferman is a selection theorem for families of rectangles in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Their covering lemma is quite useful to study the strong maximal function, such as [1], [2], [10], [18], [19].

Recently, Grafakos, Liu, Pérez and Torres [10] introduced the multilinear strong maximal function $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}$ by setting

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}\vec{f}(x) = \sup_{\substack{R \ni x \\ R \in \mathcal{R}}} \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{|R|} \int_R |f_i(y_i)| dy_i,$$

where $\vec{f} = (f_1, \dots, f_m)$ is an m -dimensional vector of locally integrable functions and $\mathcal{R}$ denotes the family of all rectangles in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with sides parallel to the axes. Moreover, they gave the definition of the corresponding multiple weights $A_{\vec{p}, \mathcal{R}}$ associated with $\mathcal{R}$, where $\vec{\omega} = (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_m) \in A_{\vec{p}, \mathcal{R}}$ if and only if

$$\sup_{R \in \mathcal{R}} \left(\frac{1}{|R|} \int_R \nu_{\vec{\omega}} dx \right) \prod_{i=1}^m \left(\frac{1}{|R|} \int_R \omega_i^{1-p'_i} dx \right)^{\frac{p}{p'_i}} < \infty,$$

where $\nu_{\vec{\omega}} = \prod_{i=1}^m \omega_i^{p/p'_i}$. For one-weight case $\vec{\omega}$, the weak and strong type boundedness of the multilinear strong maximal operators were obtained. For two-weight case $(\vec{\omega}, \nu)$, the weak boundedness was established whenever $(\vec{\omega}, \nu)$ satisfy a certain power bump variant of the multilinear A_p condition. Moreover, a sharp endpoint distributional unweighted estimate for the multilinear strong maximal operator was given.

Theorem C ([10]) Let $\vec{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_m)$ with $1 < p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m < \infty$, $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{p_m}$ and let $\vec{\omega}$ be an m -tuple of weights. Then $\vec{\omega} \in A_{\vec{p}, \mathcal{R}}$ if and only if one of the following two inequalities holds:

$$(1.7) \quad \|\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}(\vec{f})\|_{L^{p, \infty}(\nu_{\vec{\omega}})} \leq C \prod_{i=1}^m \|f_i\|_{L^{p_i}(\omega_i)};$$

$$(1.8) \quad \|\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}(\vec{f})\|_{L^p(\nu_{\vec{\omega}})} \leq C \prod_{i=1}^m \|f_i\|_{L^{p_i}(\omega_i)}.$$

Motivated by the works in [3], [10], [20], [15], we first define the multilinear fractional strong maximal operators $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}, \alpha}$ and a class of multiple fractional type weights $A_{(\vec{p}, q), \mathcal{R}}$ associated with $\mathcal{R}$, which is the family of all rectangles in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with sides parallel to the coordinate axes. Next we establish some weighted theory for multilinear fractional strong maximal operators. More precisely, not only one-weight inequalities but also two-weight inequalities are obtained. It's worth noting that all arguments for $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}, \alpha}$ are appropriate to multilinear fractional maximal operator $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha}$ as well. We will employ a different method to show the above strong type inequalities in Theorem A, compared with the method used by Moen in [20].

The article is organized as follows. Definitions and main results will be listed in Section 2. The proof of the two-weight theorems are given in Section 3. In Section 4, first, a characterization of $A_{(\vec{p},q),\mathcal{R}}$ can be found. Secondly, the relationship between the weights $A_{p,\mathcal{R}}$, $A_{p,\mathcal{R}}^d$ and the dyadic reverse doubling condition are given in Proposition 4.2. Third, the proof of the one-weight theorems are presented. Finally, in Section 5, an alternate simple proof of one-weight estimate of multilinear fractional maximal operator is presented.

2. DEFINITIONS AND MAIN RESULTS

Definition 2.1 (Multilinear fractional strong maximal operator). For $0 < \alpha < mn$, and $\vec{f} = (f_1, \dots, f_m) \in L_{loc}^1 \times \dots \times L_{loc}^1$, we define the multilinear fractional strong maximal operator $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R},\alpha}$ by

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R},\alpha}\vec{f}(x) = \sup_{R \ni x} \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{|R|^{1-\frac{\alpha}{mn}}} \int_R |f_i(y_i)| dy_i, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

where the supremum is taken over all rectangles R containing x with sides parallel to the coordinate axes.

Similarly, we can define the dyadic version multilinear fractional strong maximal operator $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R},\alpha}^d$.

Definition 2.2 (Class of $A_{(\vec{p},q),\mathcal{R}}$). Let $1 < p_1, \dots, p_m < \infty$, $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{p_m}$, and $q > 0$. Suppose that $\vec{\omega} = (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_m)$ and each ω_i ($i = 1, \dots, m$) is a nonnegative function on $\mathbb{R}^n$. We say that $\vec{\omega}$ satisfies the $A_{(\vec{p},q),\mathcal{R}}$ condition or $\vec{\omega} \in A_{(\vec{p},q),\mathcal{R}}$, if it satisfies

$$\sup_R \left(\frac{1}{|R|} \int_R \nu_{\vec{\omega}}^q dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \prod_{i=1}^m \left(\frac{1}{|R|} \int_R \omega_i^{-p'_i} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p'_i}} < \infty,$$

where $\nu_{\vec{\omega}} = \prod_{i=1}^m \omega_i$. If $p_i = 1$, $(\frac{1}{|R|} \int_R \omega_i^{1-p'_i})^{\frac{1}{p'_i}}$ is understood as $(\inf_R \omega_i)^{-1}$. We also denote by $A_{(\vec{p},q),\mathcal{R}}^d$ the dyadic analog.

Throughout the article, we denote by $\mathcal{DR}$ the family of all dyadic rectangles in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with sides parallel to the axes.

Definition 2.3 (Dyadic reverse doubling condition). We say a nonnegative measurable function ω satisfies the dyadic reverse doubling condition, or $\omega \in RD^{(d)}$, if ω is locally integrable on $\mathbb{R}^n$ and there is a constant $d > 1$ such that

$$d \int_I \omega(x) dx \leq \int_J \omega(x) dx$$

for any $I, J \in \mathcal{DR}$, where $I \subset J$ and $|I| = \frac{1}{2^n} |J|$.

Remark 2.1. The definition, dyadic reverse doubling condition or reverse doubling condition associated with cubes, can be found in [8], [16], [25]. In [8], it was introduced to investigate the boundedness of the dyadic fractional maximal function. Moreover, in Proposition 4.2 we shall see this condition is very weak.

Here we formulate the main results of this paper as follows.

Theorem 2.1 (Two-weighted estimates for $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R},\alpha}$). *Let $0 < \alpha < mn$, $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{p_m}$ with $1 < p_1, \dots, p_m < \infty$, and $0 < p < q < \infty$. Assume that ν is an arbitrary weight and for $i = 1, \dots, m$, $\omega_i^{1-p'_i}$ satisfies the dyadic reverse doubling condition. Then $(\vec{\omega}, \nu)$ are weights that satisfy*

$$(2.1) \quad \sup_{R \in \mathcal{R}} |R|^{\alpha/n+1/q-1/p} \left(\frac{1}{|R|} \int_R \nu dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \prod_{i=1}^m \left(\frac{1}{|R|} \int_R \omega_i^{1-p'_i} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p'_i}} < \infty,$$

if and only if either of the following two inequalities holds:

$$(2.2) \quad \|\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R},\alpha}(\vec{f})\|_{L^{q,\infty}(\nu)} \leq C \prod_{i=1}^m \|f_i\|_{L^{p_i}(\omega_i)};$$

$$(2.3) \quad \|\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R},\alpha}(\vec{f})\|_{L^q(\nu)} \leq C \prod_{i=1}^m \|f_i\|_{L^{p_i}(\omega_i)}.$$

Similar results hold for $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R},\alpha}^d$ and corresponding dyadic version of two-weight condition (2.1).

Theorem 2.2 (One-weighted estimates for $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R},\alpha}$). *Let $0 < \alpha < mn$, $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{p_m}$ with $1 < p_1, \dots, p_m < \infty$, and $p < q < \infty$ satisfying $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{\alpha}{n}$. Then $\vec{\omega} \in A_{(\vec{p},q),\mathcal{R}}$ if and only if either of the following two inequalities holds:*

$$(2.4) \quad \|\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R},\alpha}(\vec{f})\|_{L^{q,\infty}(\nu_{\vec{\omega}}^q)} \leq C \prod_{i=1}^m \|f_i\|_{L^{p_i}(\omega_i^{p_i})};$$

$$(2.5) \quad \|\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R},\alpha}(\vec{f})\|_{L^q(\nu_{\vec{\omega}}^q)} \leq C \prod_{i=1}^m \|f_i\|_{L^{p_i}(\omega_i^{p_i})}.$$

Similar results hold for $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R},\alpha}^d$ and $A_{(\vec{p},q),\mathcal{R}}^d$.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 2.1

To prove Theorem 2.1, we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 3.1 ([25]). *Let n be a positive integer, $1 < p < q < \infty$, and $0 < b < 2^n$. Let*

$$D = \{(F, f, \nu); F \geq 0, \nu > 0, 0 \leq f \leq F^{1/p} \nu^{1/p'}\}.$$

Then there is a positive constant C such that

$$(3.1) \quad \left(F - \frac{f^p}{2\nu^{p/p'}} \right)^{q/p} \geq C \frac{f^q}{\nu^{q/p'}} + \frac{1}{2^{nq/p}} \sum_{i=1}^{2^n} \left(F_i - \frac{f_i^p}{2\nu_i^{p/p'}} \right)^{q/p}$$

for all $(F, f, \nu), (F_i, f_i, \nu_i) \in D, i = 1, \dots, 2^n$, such that

$$F = \frac{1}{2^n}(F_1 + \dots + F_{2^n}), \quad f = \frac{1}{2^n}(f_1 + \dots + f_{2^n}), \quad \nu = \frac{1}{2^n}(\nu_1 + \dots + \nu_{2^n})$$

and $\nu_i \leq b\nu$, $i = 1, \dots, 2^n$.

Remark 3.1. When $1 < p, q < \infty$ and $p \geq q$, the above inequality (3.1) doesn't hold. In fact, when $n = 1$, we only need to take $F_i = f_i = \nu_i = 1$, $i = 1, 2$.

In order to establish two-weight estimates for multilinear fractional strong maximal operator we need the next Carleson embedding theorem regarding dyadic rectangles, which is crucial to the proof of main results.

Lemma 3.2 (Carleson Embedding Theorem Regarding Dyadic Rectangles). *Let $1 < p < q < \infty$, ω be a nonnegative locally integrable function on $\mathbb{R}^n$. Assume that $\omega^{1-p'}$ satisfies the dyadic reverse doubling condition. Then the inequality*

$$\sum_{I \in \mathcal{DR}} \left(\int_I \omega^{1-p'} dx \right)^{-q/p'} \left(\int_I f(x) dx \right)^q \leq C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x)^p \omega dx \right)^{q/p}$$

holds for all nonnegative $f \in L^p(\omega)$.

Proof of Lemma 3.2.

Proof. The proof of this conclusion is a routine application of the method of Theorem 1.1 [25]. However, we here present the proof for the sake of completeness.

For a given $I \in \mathcal{DR}$, it suffices to show

$$(3.2) \quad \sum_{\substack{J \subset I \\ J \in \mathcal{DR}}} \left(\int_J \omega^{1-p'} dx \right)^{-q/p'} \left(\frac{1}{|J|} \int_J f(x) dx \right)^q \leq C \left(\int_I f(x)^p \omega dx \right)^{q/p}$$

for all nonnegative locally integrable functions f , where C is a constant which does not depend on I .

Let D be the domain in Lemma 3.1. For $(F, f, \nu) \in D$, we set

$$B(F, f, \nu) = \frac{1}{c} \left(F - \frac{f^p}{2\nu^{p/p'}} \right)^{q/p}$$

where c is the constant in Lemma 3.1. Let f be a nonnegative measurable function such that

$$\int_I f(x)^p \omega dx < \infty.$$

Denote

$$F_A = \frac{1}{|A|} \int_A f(x)^p \omega dx, \quad f_A = \frac{1}{|A|} \int_A f(x) dx$$

and

$$\nu_A = \frac{1}{|A|} \int_A \omega(x)^{1-p'} dx,$$

for a measurable set A in I such that $|A| \neq 0$. Then, by Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I f(x) dx \leq \left(\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I f(x)^p \omega dx \right)^{1/p} \left(\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I \omega^{-p'/p} dx \right)^{1/p'}.$$

That is to say

$$0 \leq f_I \leq F_I^{1/p} \nu_I^{1/p'}.$$

Then we get $(F_I, f_I, \nu_I) \in D$. Let $I_1, \dots, I_{2^n}$ be dyadic rectangles which are obtained by dividing I into 2^n equal parts. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} (F_{I_i}, f_{I_i}, \nu_{I_i}) &\in D, \quad i = 1, \dots, n \\ F_I &= \frac{1}{2^n}(F_{I_1} + \dots + F_{I_{2^n}}), \quad f_I = \frac{1}{2^n}(f_{I_1} + \dots + f_{I_{2^n}}), \\ \nu_I &= \frac{1}{2^n}(\nu_{I_1} + \dots + \nu_{I_{2^n}}). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, by the dyadic reverse doubling condition for $\omega^{1-p'}$, we can get $\nu_{I_i} \leq b\nu_I$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, where $b = 2^n/d < 2^n$. Hence, according to Lemma 3.1, we have

$$B(F_I, f_I, \nu_I) \geq \frac{f_I^q}{\nu_I^{q/p'}} + \frac{1}{2^{nq/p}} \sum_{i=1}^{2^n} B(F_{I_i}, f_{I_i}, \nu_{I_i}),$$

and hence, we obtain the inequality

$$|I|^{q/p} B(F_I, f_I, \nu_I) \geq |I|^{q/p} \nu_I^{-q/p'} f_I^q + \sum_{i=1}^{2^n} |I_i|^{q/p} B(F_{I_i}, f_{I_i}, \nu_{I_i}).$$

Using the same technique for I_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$, we can get

$$\begin{aligned} |I|^{q/p} B(F_I, f_I, \nu_I) &\geq \sum_{\substack{J \subset I, J \in \mathcal{DR} \\ |J| \geq 2^{-nk}|I|}} |J|^{q/p} \nu_J^{-q/p'} f_J^q + \sum_{\substack{J \subset I, J \in \mathcal{DR} \\ |J| = 2^{-n(k+1)}|I|}} |J|^{q/p} B(F_J, f_J, \nu_J) \\ &\geq \sum_{\substack{J \subset I, J \in \mathcal{DR} \\ |J| \geq 2^{-nk}|I|}} |J|^{q/p} \nu_J^{-q/p'} f_J^q = \sum_{\substack{J \subset I, J \in \mathcal{DR} \\ |J| \geq 2^{-nk}|I|}} \left(\int_J \omega^{1-p'} dx \right)^{-q/p'} \left(\int_J f(x) dx \right)^q. \end{aligned}$$

Letting k approach ∞ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{J \subset I \\ J \in \mathcal{DR}}} \left(\int_J \omega^{1-p'} dx \right)^{-q/p'} \left(\int_J f(x) dx \right)^q &\leq |I|^{q/p} B(F_I, f_I, \nu_I) \\ &= |I|^{q/p} \frac{1}{c} \left(F_I - \frac{f_I^p}{2\nu_I^{p/p'}} \right)^{q/p} \leq C' |I|^{q/p} F_I^{q/p} = C' \left(\int_I f(x)^p \omega dx \right)^{q/p}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Before proving Theorem 2.1, we also need the following key lemma, which connects the maximal operator with the corresponding dyadic version maximal operator.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $x, t \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $0 < \alpha < mn$. For any $\vec{f} \geq 0$, $k \geq 0$, we define the following truncated dyadic version strong maximal operator,*

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}, \alpha}^{(k)}(\vec{f})(x) := \sup_{\substack{R \ni x, R \in \mathcal{R}, \\ \text{every side length of } R \leq 2^k}} \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{|R|^{1-\frac{\alpha}{mn}}} \int_R f_i(y_i) dy_i.$$

Then we have,

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R},\alpha}^{(k)}(\vec{f})(x) \leq \frac{C_{n,\alpha}}{|B_k|} \int_{B_k} \tau_{-t} \circ \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R},\alpha}^d \circ \vec{\tau}_t(\vec{f})(x) dt, \text{ for any } k \geq 0,$$

where $B_k = [-2^{k+2}, 2^{k+2}]^n$, $\tau_t g(x) = g(x-t)$, $\vec{\tau}_t \vec{f} = (\tau_t f_1, \dots, \tau_t f_m)$.

We notice that this inequality, for Hardy-Littlewood maximal operators, was established by Fefferman and Stein (see [7, p. 431]). For fractional maximal operators, it is due to Sawyer (see [23], [22]).

Proof. Our method is similar to [16].

First we shall need the following observation (see [7, p. 431]). Let j be an integer and I be an interval satisfying $2^{j-1}|I| \leq 2^j$. Suppose that $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $j \leq k$. Denote the set

$$E := \{t \in (-2^{k-2}, 2^{k-2}); \exists J \text{ such that } |J| = 2^{j+1}, I \subset J, J+t \text{ dyadic} \}.$$

Then, $|E| \geq 2^{k+2}$.

For given $\vec{f} \geq 0$ and $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we take interval $I_j \ni x_j$, $|I_j| \leq 2^k$, for all $j = 1, \dots, n$, such that

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R},\alpha}^{(k)}(\vec{f})(x) < 2 \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{|R|^{1-\frac{\alpha}{mn}}} \int_R f_i(y_i) dy_i$$

where the rectangle $R = I_1 \times \dots \times I_n$. Now, for each $j = 1, \dots, n$, we take integers n_j such that $2^{n_j-1} < |I_j| \leq 2^{n_j}$. It is obvious that $n_j \leq k$. In addition, let us denote the sets E_j by the following way:

$$E_j := \{t \in (-2^{k-2}, 2^{k-2}); \text{there exists } I'_j \text{ such that } |I'_j| = 2^{j+1}, I_j \subset I'_j, I'_j+t \text{ dyadic} \}$$

for each j . Denote $E = E_1 \times \dots \times E_n$. Then for each $t = (t_1, \dots, t_n) \in E$, there exist intervals $\{I'_j\}_{j=1}^n$ such that $I_j \subset I'_j$ and $I'_j + t_j$ dyadic, $i = 1, \dots, n$. Let $R'' = (I'_1 + t_1) \times \dots \times (I'_n + t_n)$. Therefore $R \subset R'' - t$ and R'' is a dyadic rectangle. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R},\alpha}^{(k)}(\vec{f})(x) &< 2 \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{|R|^{1-\frac{\alpha}{mn}}} \int_R f_i(y_i) dy_i \\ &\leq 2 \prod_{i=1}^m \left(\frac{|R''|}{|R|} \right)^{1-\frac{\alpha}{mn}} \frac{1}{|R''|^{1-\frac{\alpha}{mn}}} \int_{R''-t} f_i(y_i) dy_i \\ &\leq 2 \times 4^{mn-\alpha} \sup_{\substack{R-t \ni x \\ R \in \mathcal{DR}}} \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{|R|^{1-\frac{\alpha}{mn}}} \int_{R-t} f_i(y_i) dy_i \end{aligned}$$

where for any rectangle $R = I_1 \times \cdots \times I_n$, we denote $R - t = (I_1 - t_1) \times \cdots \times (I_n - t_n)$. Notice that $E \subset B_k$ and $|E| \geq \frac{1}{2^n} |B_k|$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R},\alpha}^{(k)}(\vec{f})(x) &\leq \frac{C_{n,\alpha}}{|E|} \int_E \tau_{-t} \circ \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R},\alpha}^d \circ \vec{\tau}_t(\vec{f})(x) dt \\ &\leq 2^n \times \frac{C_{n,\alpha}}{|B_k|} \int_{B_k} \tau_{-t} \circ \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R},\alpha}^d \circ \vec{\tau}_t(\vec{f})(x) dt. \end{aligned}$$

The lemma has been proved. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 2.1.

Proof. In fact, we only need to prove $(2.3) \Rightarrow (2.2) \Rightarrow (2.1) \Rightarrow (2.3)$.

It is obvious that $(2.3) \Rightarrow (2.2)$.

(I). First of all, we will prove (2.2) implies (2.1) .

We can assume that $\vec{f} \geq 0$, for fixed rectangle R ,

$$\prod_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{|R|^{1-\frac{\alpha}{mn}}} \int_R f_i(y_i) dy_i \geq 0.$$

For $x \in R$, we have

$$\prod_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{|R|^{1-\frac{\alpha}{mn}}} \int_R f_i(y_i) dy_i \leq \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R},\alpha}(f_1 \chi_R, \dots, f_m \chi_R)(x).$$

Therefore, for any

$$0 < \lambda < \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{|R|^{1-\frac{\alpha}{mn}}} \int_R f_i(y_i) dy_i,$$

we have $R \subset \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n; \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R},\alpha}(f_1 \chi_R, \dots, f_m \chi_R)(x) > \lambda\}$.

Hence, by (2.2) we get

$$\nu(R) \leq \nu(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n; \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R},\alpha}^d(f_1 \chi_R, \dots, f_m \chi_R)(x) > \lambda\}) \leq \left(\frac{C}{\lambda} \prod_{i=1}^m \|f_i\|_{L^{p_i}(\omega_i)} \right)^q.$$

Letting

$$\lambda \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{|R|^{1-\frac{\alpha}{mn}}} \int_R f_i(y_i) dy_i,$$

we obtain

$$\sup_{R \in \mathcal{R}} |R|^{\alpha/n-m} \nu(R)^{\frac{1}{q}} \prod_{i=1}^m \int_R f_i(y_i) dy_i \leq C \prod_{i=1}^m \|f_i\|_{L^{p_i}(\omega_i)}.$$

Taking $f_i = \omega_i^{1-p'_i}$, we get

$$\sup_{R \in \mathcal{R}} |R|^{\alpha/n-m} \nu(R)^{\frac{1}{q}} \prod_{i=1}^m \int_R \omega_i^{1-p'_i} dx \leq C \prod_{i=1}^m \left(\int_R \omega_i^{1-p'_i} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p_i}}.$$

Therefore, $(\vec{\omega}, \nu)$ satisfies condition (2.1).

(II). Next, let us prove (2.1) implies (2.3).

• **Estimate for $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R},\alpha}^d$.** We first prove the boundedness for the dyadic version,

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R},\alpha}^d(\vec{f})(x) = \sup_{\substack{R \ni x \\ R \in \mathcal{DR}}} \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{|R|^{1-\frac{\alpha}{mn}}} \int_R |f_i(y_i)| dy_i, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Without loss of generality, we can assume that $\vec{f} \geq 0$, bounded and has a compact support. Therefore $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R},\alpha}^d(\vec{f})(x) < \infty$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. According to the definition of $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R},\alpha}^d(\vec{f})(x)$, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, there exists a dyadic rectangle R such that $x \in R$ and

$$(3.3) \quad \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R},\alpha}^d(\vec{f})(x) \leq 2 \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{|R|^{1-\frac{\alpha}{mn}}} \int_R f_i(y_i) dy_i.$$

For any dyadic rectangle R , define the set $E(R)$ by

$$E(R) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n; x \in R \text{ and } R \text{ is minimal for which (3.3) holds}\}.$$

From the definition of maximal operator and the latter inequality it is obvious that

$$\mathbb{R}^n = \bigcup_{R \in \mathcal{DR}} E(R).$$

Since $(\vec{\omega}, \nu)$ satisfies the condition (2.1), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R},\alpha}^d(\vec{f})(x) \right)^q \nu dx \\ & \leq \sum_{R \in \mathcal{DR}} \int_{E(R)} \left(\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R},\alpha}^d(\vec{f})(x) \right)^q \nu dx \\ & \lesssim \sum_{R \in \mathcal{DR}} \int_R \left(\prod_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{|R|^{1-\frac{\alpha}{mn}}} \int_R f_i(y_i) dy_i \right)^q \nu dx \\ & = \sum_{R \in \mathcal{DR}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^m \left(\int_R \omega_i^{1-p'_i} dx \right)^{-q/p'_i} \left(\int_R f_i(y_i) dy_i \right)^q \right) \\ & \quad \times \left(|R|^{\alpha/n+1/q-1/p} \left(\frac{1}{|R|} \int_R \nu dx \right)^{1/q} \prod_{i=1}^m \left(\frac{1}{|R|} \int_R \omega_i^{1-p'_i} dx \right)^{1/p'_i} \right)^q \\ & \lesssim \sum_{R \in \mathcal{DR}} \prod_{i=1}^m \left(\int_R \omega_i^{1-p'_i} dx \right)^{-q/p'_i} \left(\int_R f_i(y_i) dy_i \right)^q. \end{aligned}$$

By Hölder's inequality $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \prod_{i=1}^m |a_{ij}| \leq \prod_{i=1}^m (\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |a_{ij}|^{p_i/p})^{p/p_i}$ and Lemma 3.2, we further deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R},\alpha}^d(\vec{f})(x) \right)^q \nu dx \\ & \leq \prod_{i=1}^m \left[\sum_{R \in \mathcal{DR}} \left(\int_R \omega_i^{1-p'_i} dx \right)^{(-qp_i)/(p'_i p)} \left(\int_R f_i(y_i) dy_i \right)^{qp_i/p} \right]^{p/p_i} \\ & \lesssim \prod_{i=1}^m \|f_i\|_{L^{p_i}(\omega_i)}^q. \end{aligned}$$

• **Estimate for $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R},\alpha}$.** From Lemma 3.3 and generalized Minkowski's inequality, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R},\alpha}^{(k)}(\vec{f})\|_{L^q(\nu)} & \lesssim \frac{1}{|B_k|} \left\| \int_{B_k} \tau_{-t} \circ \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R},\alpha}^d \circ \vec{\tau}_t(\vec{f}) dt \right\|_{L^q(\nu)} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{|B_k|} \int_{B_k} \|\tau_{-t} \circ \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R},\alpha}^d \circ \vec{\tau}_t(\vec{f})\|_{L^q(\nu)} dt \\ & \leq \frac{1}{|B_k|} \int_{B_k} \|\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R},\alpha}^d \vec{\tau}_t(\vec{f})\|_{L^q(\tau_t \nu)} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Since $(\vec{\omega}, \nu)$ satisfies the condition (2.1), we can further verify $(\vec{\tau}_t \vec{\omega}, \tau_t \nu)$ also satisfies the condition (2.1) independently of t . Therefore, from (2.3) we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R},\alpha}^{(k)}(\vec{f})\|_{L^q(\nu)} & \lesssim \frac{1}{|B_k|} \int_{B_k} \prod_{i=1}^m \|\tau_t f_i\|_{L^{p_i}(\tau_t \omega_i)} dt \\ & = \frac{1}{|B_k|} \int_{B_k} \prod_{i=1}^m \|f_i\|_{L^{p_i}(\omega_i)} dt = \prod_{i=1}^m \|f_i\|_{L^{p_i}(\omega_i)}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, letting k tend to infinity, we finish the proof. $\square$

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 2.2

To complete the proof of Theorem 2.2, we need the following characterizations of $A_{(\vec{p},q),\mathcal{R}}$ class and the connection between the weights $A_{p,\mathcal{R}}^d$ and the dyadic reverse doubling condition.

Proposition 4.1 (Characterization of $A_{(\vec{p},q),\mathcal{R}}$ class). *Let $0 < \alpha < mn$, $1 < p_1, \dots, p_m < \infty$, $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{p_m}$ and $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{\alpha}{n}$. Suppose $\vec{\omega} \in A_{(\vec{p},q),\mathcal{R}}$, then*

- (a) $\nu_{\vec{\omega}}^q \in A_{r,\mathcal{R}} \subset A_{mq,\mathcal{R}}$;
- (b) $\omega_i^{-p'_i} \in A_{mp'_i,\mathcal{R}}$;
- (c) $\omega_i^{-p'_i} \in A_{r_i,\mathcal{R}}$, if $\frac{\alpha}{n} < (m-2) + \frac{1}{p_i} + \frac{1}{p_j}$, for any $1 \leq i, j \leq m$,
where $r = 1 + q(m - \frac{1}{p})$ and $r_i = 1 + \frac{p'_i}{q} [1 + (m-1)q - \frac{q}{p} + \frac{q}{p_i}]$.

Proof. By examining the proof of Theorem 2.2 in [3], we shall find the arguments used in [3] rely only on the use of Hölder's inequality, and it doesn't involve any geometric property of cubes or rectangles. Hence we can also adopt the method in [3] to complete our proof. Since the main ideas are almost the same, we omit the proof here. $\square$

Proposition 4.2. *Let $1 < p < \infty$, ω is a nonnegative weight. Then we have*

- (i) $A_{\infty, \mathcal{R}} \subset A_{\infty, \mathcal{R}}^d \subset RD^{(d)}$;
- (ii) *if $\omega \in A_{p, \mathcal{R}}^d$, then $\omega^{1-p'} \in RD^{(d)}$.*

Proof of Theorem 2.2

Proof. From Proposition 4.1 (b) and Proposition 4.2 (i), we can get the fact, if $\vec{\omega} \in A_{(\vec{p}, q), \mathcal{R}}$, then $\omega_i^{-p'_i}$ ($i = 1, \dots, m$) satisfies the dyadic reverse doubling condition.

Now, by means of the above arguments and using $\omega_i^{p_i}, \nu_{\vec{\omega}}$ substitute ω_i, ν respectively in Theorem 2.1, we can conclude the results of Theorem 2.2. $\square$

We next turn to the proof of Proposition 4.2.

Proof of Proposition 4.2

Proof. According to the definition of these weights, it is easy to see the inclusion $A_{\infty, \mathcal{R}} \subset A_{\infty, \mathcal{R}}^d$. Now let us prove (ii).

Let I be any dyadic rectangle. By dividing I into 2^n equal parts, we can get dyadic sub-rectangles of I , $I_1, \dots, I_{2^n}$. We denote

$$u_A = \frac{1}{|A|} \int_A \omega(x) dx, \quad \nu_A = \frac{1}{|A|} \int_A \omega(x)^{1-p'} dx,$$

for a measurable set $A \subset I$ and $|A| \neq 0$. Then we have

$$u_A = \frac{1}{2^n} (u_{I_1} + \dots + u_{I_{2^n}}), \quad \nu_A = \frac{1}{2^n} (\nu_{I_1} + \dots + \nu_{I_{2^n}}).$$

Notice that $u_{I_i} \leq 2^n u_I$, for each $i = 1, \dots, n$. Since $\omega \in A_{p, \mathcal{R}}^d$, for each $i = 1, \dots, n$, we have

$$1 \leq u_I^{\frac{1}{p}} \nu_I^{\frac{1}{p'}} \leq K, \quad 1 \leq u_{I_i}^{\frac{1}{p}} \nu_{I_i}^{\frac{1}{p'}} \leq K,$$

where K is a positive constant which does not depend on I . Hence we obtain the following inequalities, for all $i = 1, \dots, n$,

$$\nu_{I_i} \geq \frac{1}{u_{I_i}^{p'/p}} \geq \frac{1}{(2^n u_I)^{p'/p}} \geq \frac{\nu_I}{2^{np'/p} \cdot K^{p'}},$$

$$\nu_{I_i} = 2^n \nu_I - \sum_{j \neq i} \nu_{I_j} \leq \left(2^n - \frac{2^n - 1}{2^{np'/p} \cdot K^{p'}} \right) \nu_I = 2^n \left(1 - \frac{1 - 2^{-n}}{2^{np'/p} \cdot K^{p'}} \right) \nu_I.$$

We take d such that $\frac{1}{d} = 1 - \frac{1-2^{-n}}{2^{np'/p} \cdot K^{p'}}$. Because $K \geq 1, p > 1$, we have $d > 1$. Finally, we conclude

$$d \int_{I_i} \omega(x)^{1-p'} dx = d |I_i| \nu_{I_i} \leq 2^n |I_i| \nu_I = |I| \nu_I = \int_I \omega(x)^{1-p'} dx.$$

Hence $\omega^{1-p'} \in RD^{(d)}$. This proves (ii).

Next, let $\omega \in A_{p,\mathcal{R}}^d$. By the definition of $A_{p,\mathcal{R}}^d$, it can be easily seen that $\omega^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} \in A_{p',\mathcal{R}}^d$. Hence we have by (ii)

$$\omega = \left(\omega^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} \right)^{-\frac{1}{p'-1}} \in RD^{(d)}.$$

This completes the proof of Proposition 4.2. $\square$

Corollary 4.3. *Let $1 < p < q < \infty$, $\omega \in A_{p,\mathcal{R}}^d$. Let $\{\mu_I\}_{I \in \mathcal{DR}}$ be nonnegative numbers. Then the following two statements are equivalent:*

(i) *There is a positive constant C_1 such that*

$$\sum_{I \in \mathcal{DR}} \mu_I \left(\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I f(x) dx \right)^q \leq C_1 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x)^p \omega(x) dx \right)^{q/p}$$

for all nonnegative locally integrable function f .

(ii) *There is a positive constant C_2 such that*

$$\mu_I \leq C_2 \left(\int_I \omega(x) dx \right)^{q/p}$$

for all $I \in \mathcal{DR}$.

Proof. Taking $f = \chi_I$, we can check that (i) implies (ii). Conversely, from $\omega \in A_{p,\mathcal{R}}^d$ and Hölder's inequality, we get

$$1 = \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I \omega(x)^{\frac{1}{p}} \omega(x)^{-\frac{1}{p}} dx \leq \left(\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I \omega(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I \omega(x)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \leq C$$

for all $I \in \mathcal{DR}$, where the constant C independent of I . By combining Lemma 3.2 and Proposition 4.2 with the above inequality, we can easily see that (ii) implies (i). $\square$

5. A NEW PROOF OF MULTILINEAR FRACTIONAL INTEGRAL OPERATORS AND MAXIMAL OPERATORS

Our goal here is to present a new proof for Theorem A.

In fact, by verifying the proof of Theorem 2.2, we will find that the method we use is likewise appropriate for the multilinear fractional maximal operator $\mathcal{M}_\alpha$ in Definition 1.2. That is to say, the conclusions of Theorem 2.2 also hold for $\mathcal{M}_\alpha$ and $A_{(\vec{p},q)}^d$, $\mathcal{M}_\alpha^d$ and $A_{(\vec{p},q)}^d$. To complete the weighted estimate for $\mathcal{I}_\alpha$, we only need the following proposition.

Proposition 5.1. *Let $0 < q < \infty$, and $0 < \alpha < mn$. If $\omega \in A_\infty$, then there exists a positive constant C independent of f such that*

$$(5.1) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\mathcal{I}_\alpha(\vec{f})(x)|^q \omega(x) dx \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [\mathcal{M}_\alpha(\vec{f})(x)]^q \omega(x) dx$$

and

$$(5.2) \quad \sup_{\lambda > 0} \lambda^q \omega(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n; |\mathcal{I}_\alpha(\vec{f})(x)| > \lambda\}) \leq C \sup_{\lambda > 0} \lambda^q \omega(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n; \mathcal{M}_\alpha(\vec{f})(x) > \lambda\}).$$

Remark 5.1. When $m = 1$, Theorem 1 [21] is the linear result of Proposition 5.1. Furthermore, the inequality (5.1) has been proved by Moen in Theorem 3.1 [20], which used an extrapolation theorem. Our method is entirely different from his.

To prove Proposition 5.1, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 5.2. *If $0 < \alpha < mn$, there exist constants B and K , depending only on α , m and n such that if $\lambda > 0$, $d > 0$, $b \geq B$, $\vec{f}$ is nonnegative, Q is a cube in $\mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\mathcal{I}_\alpha(\vec{f}) \leq \lambda$ at some point of Q and $E = \{x \in Q; \mathcal{I}_\alpha(\vec{f})(x) \geq \lambda b, \mathcal{M}_\alpha(\vec{f})(x) \leq \lambda d\}$, then $|E| \leq K|Q|(d/b)^{n/(mn-\alpha)}$.*

Proof. Here we need to use the endpoint unweighted estimate for $\mathcal{I}_\alpha$ in Lemma 7 [14]. Lemma 5.2 is the multilinear version of Lemma 1 [21]. Since the main ideas are almost the same as [21], we omit the proof here. $\square$

Remark 5.2. Lemma 5.2 does not hold for the dyadic maximal operator $\mathcal{M}_\alpha^d$

We give an example in the case $m = 1$.

Let $0 < \alpha < n$ and $B > 0$. Let $Q_1 = [0, 1]^n$ and $Q_{-1} = [-1, 0]^n$. Set

$$f(x) = \frac{\chi_{Q_{-1}}(x)}{|x|^\alpha}, \text{ and } \lambda = I_\alpha f((1, 1, \dots, 1)).$$

Then $I_\alpha f(0) = \int_{Q_{-1}} \frac{dy}{|y|^n} = +\infty$, and

$$(5.3) \quad |\{x \in Q_1; I_\alpha f(x) > b\}| > 0 \text{ for any } b > 0.$$

If a dyadic cube Q contains $x \in Q_1$, then it must be contained in $[0, \infty)^n$. Since $\text{supp } f \subset (-\infty, 0]^n$, we get

$$\mathcal{M}_\alpha^d(f)(x) = \sup_{Q \ni x} \frac{1}{|Q|^{1-\frac{\alpha}{n}}} \int_Q |f(y)| dy = 0 \text{ for } x \in Q_1.$$

So, for each $d > 0$, $b \geq B$ we have by (5.3)

$$(5.4) \quad |\{x \in Q_1; I_\alpha f(x) > b\lambda, \mathcal{M}_\alpha^d(f)(x) \leq d\lambda\}| = |\{x \in Q_1; I_\alpha f(x) > b\lambda\}| > 0.$$

Therefore there exists no $K > 0$ such that

$$|\{x \in Q_1; I_\alpha f(x) > b\lambda, \mathcal{M}_\alpha^d(f)(x) \leq d\lambda\}| \leq K|Q_1|(d/b)^{n/(n-\alpha)},$$

although $\lambda = I_\alpha f((1, 1, \dots, 1))$.

Proof of Proposition 5.1

Proof. The following argument is essentially taken from [21]. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $\vec{f}$ is nonnegative and has compact support. For given $\lambda > 0$, in the light of Whitney decomposition (Theorem 1, [24, p. 167]), there are cubes $\{Q_j\}$ with disjoint interiors such that,

$$\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n; \mathcal{I}_\alpha(\vec{f}) > \lambda\} = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} Q_j,$$

and for each j , $\mathcal{I}_\alpha(\vec{f}) \leq \lambda$ at some point of $4Q_j$. Let B and K be as in Lemma 5.2 and let $b = \max(1, B)$. As a property of A_∞ weight, it is known that for any $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ there exists $\delta > 0$, such that $|S| < \delta|Q|$ implies $\omega(S) < \varepsilon\omega(Q)$ for any cube Q and its measurable subset S . Let δ correspond to $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2}b^{-q}$ for $\omega(x)$. Choose D so that $\delta = K4^n(D/b)^{n/(mn-\alpha)}$. Let d satisfy $0 < d \leq D$ and

$$E_j = \{x \in Q_j; \mathcal{I}_\alpha(\vec{f}) > \lambda b, \mathcal{M}_\alpha(\vec{f}) \leq \lambda d\}.$$

According to Lemma 5.2, we have $|E_j| \leq K|4Q_j|(d/b)^{n/(mn-\alpha)} < \delta|Q_j|$, and hence, we have $\omega(E_j) \leq \frac{1}{2}b^{-q}\omega(Q_j)$. So,

$$\begin{aligned} & \omega(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n; \mathcal{I}_\alpha(\vec{f})(x) > \lambda b, \mathcal{M}_\alpha(\vec{f})(x) \leq \lambda d\}) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \omega(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n; \mathcal{I}_\alpha(\vec{f})(x) > \lambda b, \mathcal{M}_\alpha(\vec{f})(x) \leq \lambda d\} \cap Q_j) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \omega(E_j) \leq \frac{1}{2}b^{-q}\omega(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n; \mathcal{I}_\alpha(\vec{f})(x) > \lambda\}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (5.5) \quad \omega(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n; \mathcal{I}_\alpha(\vec{f})(x) > \lambda b\}) &\leq \omega(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n; \mathcal{M}_\alpha(\vec{f})(x) > \lambda d\}) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}b^{-q}\omega(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n; \mathcal{I}_\alpha(\vec{f})(x) > \lambda\}). \end{aligned}$$

Because $\vec{f}$ has compact support, there exists a cube Q such that $\vec{f} = 0$ for any x outside Q . For fixed x outside $3Q$, let x_0 be the point in Q closest to x , let P be the smallest cube with center at x and sides parallel to Q that contains Q . Then there is a constant $L = L(n) > 1$ such that $|P| \leq L|x - x_0|^n$. Moreover,

$$\mathcal{I}_\alpha(\vec{f})(x) \leq \frac{1}{(m|x - x_0|)^{mn-\alpha}} \prod_{i=1}^m \int_Q f_i(y_i) dy_i \leq \left(\frac{L}{m^n}\right)^{m-\alpha/n} \mathcal{M}_\alpha(\vec{f})(x).$$

Hence, taking $d = \min\{D, (L/m^n)^{\alpha/n-m}\}$, we get

$$(5.6) \quad \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n; \mathcal{I}_\alpha(\vec{f})(x) > \lambda\} \cap (3Q)^c \subset \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n; \mathcal{M}_\alpha(\vec{f})(x) > \lambda d\}.$$

From (5.5) and (5.6), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \omega(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n; \mathcal{I}_\alpha(\vec{f})(x) > \lambda b\}) \\
 & \leq \omega(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n; \mathcal{M}_\alpha(\vec{f})(x) > \lambda d\}) + \frac{1}{2}b^{-q}\omega(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n; \mathcal{I}_\alpha(\vec{f})(x) > \lambda\} \cap (3Q)^c) \\
 (5.7) \quad & + \frac{1}{2}b^{-q}\omega(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n; \mathcal{I}_\alpha(\vec{f})(x) > \lambda\} \cap (3Q)) \\
 & \leq 2\omega(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n; \mathcal{M}_\alpha(\vec{f})(x) > \lambda d\}) + \frac{1}{2}b^{-q}\omega(\{x \in 3Q; \mathcal{I}_\alpha(\vec{f})(x) > \lambda\}).
 \end{aligned}$$

(i). Let N be any positive number, multiply both sides of (5.7) by λ^{q-1} and integrate with respect to λ from 0 to N , then make a change of variables, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & b^{-q} \int_0^{bN} \lambda^{q-1} \omega(\{\mathcal{I}_\alpha(\vec{f})(x) > \lambda\}) d\lambda \\
 & \leq 2 \int_0^N \lambda^{q-1} \omega(\{\mathcal{M}_\alpha(\vec{f})(x) > \lambda d\}) d\lambda + \frac{b^{-q}}{2} \int_0^N \lambda^{q-1} \omega(\{\mathcal{I}_\alpha(\vec{f})(x) > \lambda\} \cap 3Q) d\lambda \\
 & \leq 2d^{-q} \int_0^{dN} \lambda^{q-1} \omega(\{\mathcal{M}_\alpha(\vec{f})(x) > \lambda\}) d\lambda + \frac{b^{-q}}{2} \int_0^{bN} \lambda^{q-1} \omega(\{\mathcal{I}_\alpha(\vec{f})(x) > \lambda\}) d\lambda.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$b^{-q} \int_0^{bN} \lambda^{q-1} \omega(\{\mathcal{I}_\alpha(\vec{f})(x) > \lambda\}) d\lambda \leq 4d^{-q} \int_0^{dN} \lambda^{q-1} \omega(\{\mathcal{M}_\alpha(\vec{f})(x) > \lambda\}) d\lambda.$$

Observe that $\|f\|_{L^q(\omega)} = q \int_0^\infty \lambda^{q-1} \omega(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n; |f(x)| > \lambda\}) d\lambda$, $0 < q < \infty$. Finally, letting N approach ∞ , we deduce that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\mathcal{I}_\alpha(\vec{f})(x)|^q \omega(x) dx \leq 4 \left(\frac{b}{d}\right)^q \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [\mathcal{M}_\alpha(\vec{f})(x)]^q \omega(x) dx.$$

This shows (5.1).

(ii). Next we shall check (5.2). The technique is similar to (i). Let N be any positive number, multiply both sides of (5.7) by λ^q , then take the supremum of both sides for $0 < \lambda < N$ and note the fact that $\sup(u+v) \leq \sup u + \sup v$. Then making a change of variables, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & b^{-q} \sup_{0 < \lambda < bN} \lambda^q \omega(\{\mathcal{I}_\alpha(\vec{f})(x) > \lambda\}) \\
 & \leq 2d^{-q} \sup_{0 < \lambda < dN} \lambda^q \omega(\{\mathcal{M}_\alpha(\vec{f})(x) > \lambda\}) + \frac{b^{-q}}{2} \sup_{0 < \lambda < N} \lambda^q \omega(\{\mathcal{I}_\alpha(\vec{f})(x) > \lambda\} \cap 3Q) \\
 & \leq 2d^{-q} \sup_{0 < \lambda < dN} \lambda^q \omega(\{\mathcal{M}_\alpha(\vec{f})(x) > \lambda\}) + \frac{b^{-q}}{2} \sup_{0 < \lambda < bN} \lambda^q \omega(\{\mathcal{I}_\alpha(\vec{f})(x) > \lambda\}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$b^{-q} \sup_{0 < \lambda < bN} \lambda^q \omega(\{\mathcal{I}_\alpha(\vec{f})(x) > \lambda\}) \leq 4d^{-q} \sup_{0 < \lambda < dN} \lambda^q \omega(\{\mathcal{M}_\alpha(\vec{f})(x) > \lambda\}).$$

Now letting N tend to ∞ , we obtain

$$\sup_{\lambda>0} \lambda^q \omega(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n; |\mathcal{I}_\alpha(\vec{f})(x)| > \lambda\}) \leq 4 \left(\frac{b}{d}\right)^q \sup_{\lambda>0} \lambda^q \omega(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n; \mathcal{M}_\alpha(\vec{f})(x) > \lambda\}).$$

This shows (5.2). So far, we have finished the proof of Proposition 5.1. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] R. J. Bagby, *Maximal functions and rearrangements : some new proofs*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 32 (6)(1983) 879-891.
- [2] R. J. Bagby and D. S. Kurtz, *$L(\log L)$ spaces and weights for the strong maximal function*, J. Anal. Math. 44(1984/1985) 21-31.
- [3] X. Chen and Q. Xue, *Weighted estimates for a class of multilinear fractional type operators*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 362 (2010) 355-373.
- [4] R. R. Coifman and Y. Meyer, *Commutateurs d'intégrales singulières et opérateurs multilinéaires*, Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble 28 (1978) 177-202.
- [5] R. R. Coifman and Y. Meyer, *Au-delà des opérateurs pseudo-différentiels*, Asterisque 57, (1978), 1-185.
- [6] A. Córdoba and R. Fefferman, *A geometric proof of the strong maximal theorem*, Ann. Math.(2) 102(1)(1975) 95-100.
- [7] J. García-Cuerva and J. L. Rubio de Francia, *Weighted Norm Inequalities and Related Topics*, North-Holland Mathematics Studies, 116, Mathematical Notes, 104, North Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1985.
- [8] I. Genebashvili, A. Gogatishvili, V. Kokilashvili and M. Krbeć, *Weight Theory for Integral Transforms on Spaces of Homogeneous Type*, Pitman Monographs and Surveys in Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 92, Longman, 1998.
- [9] L. Grafakos, *On multilinear fractional integrals*, Studia Math. 102 (1992) 49-56.
- [10] L. Grafakos, L. Liu, C. Pérez and R. H. Torres, *The multilinear strong maximal function*, J. Geom. Anal. V. 21, (2011), 118-149.
- [11] L. Grafakos and R. Torres, *Multilinear Calderón-Zygmund theory*, Adv. Math. 165 (2002), 124-164.
- [12] L. Grafakos and R. H. Torres, *Maximal operator and weighted norm inequalities for multilinear singular integrals*, Indiana. Univ. Math. J. 51 (2002), No.5, 1261-1276.
- [13] B. Jessen, J. Marcinkiewicz and A. Zygmund, *Note on the differentiability of multiple integrals*, Fund. Math. 25 (1935) 217-234.
- [14] C. E. Kenig and E. M. Stein, *Multilinear estimates and fractional integration*, Math. Res. Lett. 6 (1999) 1-15.
- [15] V. Kokilashvili, M. Mastilo, and A. Meskhi, *Two-weight norm estimates for multilinear fractional integrals in classical lebesgue spaces*, preprint.
- [16] V. Kokilashvili and A. Meskhi, *Two weight estimates for strong fractional maximal functions and potentials with multiple kernels*, J. Korean Math. Soc. 46 (2009), No. 3, pp. 523-550.
- [17] A. K. Lerner, S. Ombrosi, C. Pérez, R. H. Torres and R. Trujillo-González, *New maximal functions and multiple weights for the multilinear Calderón-Zygmund theory*, Adv. Math. 220 (2009) 1222-1264.
- [18] R. Long and Z. Shen, *A note on a covering lemma and A. Córdoba and R. Fefferman*, Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. B9(3)(1988) 283-291. A Chinese summary appears in Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. A9(4) (1988) 506.
- [19] T. Luque and I. Parisis, *The endpoint Fefferman-Stein inequality for the strong maximal function*, J. Funct. Anal. 266 (2014) 199-212.
- [20] K. Moen, *Weighted inequalities for multilinear fractional integral operators*, Collect. Math. 60 (2009) 213-238.

- [21] B. Muckenhoupt and R. L. Wheeden, *Weighted norm inequalities for fractional integrals*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 192 (1974), 261-274.
- [22] E. T. Sawyer, *Weighted norm inequalities for maximal operators*, C. M. S. Conference Proceeding C. M. S.-Amer. Math. Soc. 1(1981), 283-309.
- [23] E. T. Sawyer, *Two weight norm inequalities for certain maximal and integral operators*, Harmonic analysis (Minneapolis, Minn., 1981), pp. 102-127, Lecture Notes in Math, 908, Springer, Berlin-New York, 1982.
- [24] E. M. Stein, *Singular Integrals and Differentiability Properties of Functions*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N.J., 1970.
- [25] K. Tachizawa, *On weighted dyadic Carleson's inequalities*, J. Inequal. Appl., 2001, Vol. 6, pp. 415-433.

MINGMING CAO, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, BEIJING NORMAL UNIVERSITY, LABORATORY OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPLEX SYSTEMS, MINISTRY OF EDUCATION, BEIJING 100875, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

E-mail address: m.cao@mail.bnu.edu.cn

QINGYING XUE, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, BEIJING NORMAL UNIVERSITY, LABORATORY OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPLEX SYSTEMS, MINISTRY OF EDUCATION, BEIJING 100875, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

E-mail address: qyxue@bnu.edu.cn

KÔZÔ YABUTA, RESEARCH CENTER FOR MATHEMATICAL SCIENCE, KWANSEI GAKUIN UNIVERSITY, GAKUEN 2-1, SANDA 669-1337, JAPAN